Credit Risk : Firm Value Model

Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev

Institute for Statistics and Mathematical Economics University of Karlsruhe and Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT)

A (10) A (10)

Copyright

These lecture-notes cannot be copied and/or distributed without permission.

Prof. Svetlozar (Zari) T. Rachev Chair of Econometrics, Statistics and Mathematical Finance School of Economics and Business Engineering University of Karlsruhe and Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) Kollegium am Schloss, Bau II, 20.12, R210 Postfach 6980, D-76128, Karlsruhe, Germany Tel. +49-721-608-7535, +49-721-608-2042(s) Fax: +49-721-608-3811 http://www.statistik.uni-karslruhe.de

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Risk

- Market Risk (30% of the total risk)
 - economic factors : current state of the economy
 - government band rate : default free rate
 - FX-rate (Currency)
 - unemployment rate
- Credit Risk (40% of the total risk)
 - Ioans
 - defaultable bonds (Corporate bonds)
 - defaultable fixed income securities
- Operational Risk (30% of the total risk)
 - business lines
 - event types
 - management
 - internal / external fraud

A B F A B F

Contents

• Firm value model

- The defaults are endogenous
- Option pricing method
- APT (Arbitrage Pricing Theory) : Stochastic calculus, risk neutral valuation and no-arbitrage markets
- Intensity based model
 - The defaults are exogenous.
 - The model is designed for large portfolios of corporate bonds.
- Rating based model
 - Markov chains
 - Rating agencies nationally recognized statistical rating organizations (NRSROs)
- Credit derivatives
 - Description
 - Valuation

Merton's Firm Value Model

- The defaultable bond and the stock price are derivatives with underlying the value of the firm.
- The default time is endogeneous for the model.

A B K A B K

Example





Merton:

- What is B_t the value of the corporate bond at time t?
- What is S_t the stock value at time t?

Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev (KIT)

Firm Value Model

Simplest Model

Suppose V_t is the value of the firm at t. Geometric Brownian motion:

$$dV_t = \mu V_t dt + \sigma V_t dW_t \tag{1}$$

where $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is the Brownian motion on the market measure \mathbb{P} (natural world).

Let r_t be the risk free rate at t, and assume $r_t \equiv r$. The bank account:

$$b_t = b_0 e^{rt}, \ b_0 = 1.$$
 (2)

Discount factor:

$$\beta_t = \frac{1}{b_t} = e^{-rt}$$

*(1) and (2) : classical Black-Scholes model for option pricing.

B_t under Black-Scholes model Let $B_t = \overline{B}(t, T)$. At maturity t = T,

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}) &= \left\{ egin{aligned} ar{D} &, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{T} > ar{D} \ \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{T} &, \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{T} \leq ar{D} \ &= \min(\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{T},ar{D}) \ &= ar{D} - \max(ar{D} - \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{T},\mathbf{0}) \end{aligned} \end{aligned}
ight.$$

Hence

 $\bar{B}(t,T)$

= the value of European contingent claim with $B(T, T) = \min(V_T, \overline{D})$

$$= E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{B}(T,T)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

= discounted final payoff

under risk-neutral measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ given $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(w_u, u \leq t)$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Bt under Black-Scholes model

Recall that under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ (risk-neutral world),

$$dV_t = rV_t dt + \sigma V_t d\tilde{W}_t \tag{3}$$

where $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is the Brownian motion on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$.

* On the natural world, $\tilde{W}_t = W_t + \theta t$ where $\theta = (\mu - r)/\sigma$ is the market price of risk.

The solution for (3) is

$$V_t = V_0 e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t + \sigma \tilde{W}_t}, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(4)

By (4), given information \mathcal{F}_t ,

$$V_T = V_t e^{(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(T - t) + \sigma(\tilde{W}_T - \tilde{W}_t)}$$
 on \mathbb{P} .

B_t under Black-Scholes model Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \bar{B}(t,T) &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\bar{B}(T,T) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\bar{D} - \max(\bar{D} - V_T,0) | \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= e^{-r(T-t)} \bar{D} - e^{-r(T-t)} E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\max(\bar{D} - V_T,0) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \end{split}$$

By the Black-Scholes put option price formula,

$$\bar{B}(t,T) = e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D} - e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D}N(-d_2) + V_tN(-d_1)$$

= $e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D}(1 - N(-d_2)) + V_tN(-d_1)$
= $e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D}N(d_2) + V_tN(-d_1)$ (5)

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\ln(V_t/\bar{D}) + (r - \sigma^2/2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$
$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T - t}$$

and N(x) is the cumulative density function of the standard normal distribution.

Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev (KIT)

St under Black-Scholes model

Answer 1: Having (5) and $V_t = \overline{B}(t, T) + S_t$, we have

$$S_t = V_t - \bar{B}(t, T)$$

= $V_t(1 - N(-d_1)) - e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D}N(d_2)$
= $V_tN(d_1) - e^{-r(T-t)}\bar{D}N(d_2)$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\ln(V_t/\bar{D}) + (r - \sigma^2/2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$
$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T - t}$$

St under Black-Scholes model

Answer 2: If $\overline{B}(t, T)$ is unknown, we view S_t as European contingent claim on V_t . By Black-Scholes theory, we have

$$S_t = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}S_T|\mathcal{F}_t
ight].$$

At the terminal time (i.e. at the maturity T),

$$S_{\mathcal{T}} = \left\{egin{array}{ccc} V_{\mathcal{T}} - ar{D} &, V_{\mathcal{T}} > ar{D} \ 0 &, V_{\mathcal{T}} \leq ar{D} \ = \max(V_{\mathcal{T}} - ar{D}, 0). \end{array}
ight.$$

Therefore,

$$S_t = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[e^{-r(T-t)} \max(V_T - \bar{D}, 0) | \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$
$$= V_t N(d_1) - e^{-r(T-t)} \bar{D} N(d_2)$$

* Note :
$$\overline{B}(t,T) = V_t - S_t$$
.

Remark

Under Merton's model, regardless how complex a defaultable instrument is, price of a "structural instrument" at time *t* is given by

which is an European contingent claim on V_t .

A B K A B K

A .

Q:How do the bond holders hedge their risk?

A: The bond holders are "long" in the bond. The only security they can use for hedging is the stock. The stock is the only security available for trade.

- They can buy or sell the stock.
- Let Δ_t ("Delta" position at t) be the number of stock shares bought (or sold) at t.
- The bond holders form a riskless portfolio.

$$\Pi_t = 1 \cdot \bar{B}(t,T) + \Delta_t \cdot S_t$$

(=riskless, like risk free bank account, complete immunization, perfect hedge)

* Bond holders typically (in US) immunize 7% of their holding.

The hedge strategy $(a_t, b_t) = (1, \Delta_t)$ should be self-financing

total gain from keeping the bond total gain from trading the stock

Then

$$d\Pi_t = a_t d\bar{B}(t,T) + b_t dS_t = d\bar{B}(t,T) + \Delta_t dS_t$$

Because the bond holders want full immunization, i.e.

 $\Pi_t = C_t e^{rt}$: like a bank account (no randomness).

So.

$$d\Pi_t = [\cdots]dt + \underbrace{0dW_t}_{\text{no risk}}$$

Under the Merton's model

$$\begin{split} \bar{B}(t,T) &= \bar{B}(V_t,t,T) = \bar{B}(V_t,t) \\ S_t &= S(V_t,t) = V_t - \bar{B}(V_t,t) \end{split}$$

Thus

$$d\Pi_t = 1 \cdot d\overline{B}(V_t, t) + \Delta_t dS(V_t, t)$$

= [\dots]dt + 0dW_t
(Instantaneously risk free portfolio).

æ

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン

By the Ito formula and

$$dV_t = \mu V_t dt + \sigma V_t dW_t, \quad (dV_t)^2 = \sigma^2 V_t^2 dt,$$

we obtain

$$d\bar{B}(V_t,t) = \frac{\partial\bar{B}}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial\bar{B}}{\partial V}dV_t + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\bar{B}}{\partial V^2}(dV_t)^2$$

= $\left(\frac{\partial\bar{B}}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}V_t^2\frac{\partial^2\bar{B}}{\partial V^2} + \mu V_t\frac{\partial\bar{B}}{\partial V}\right)dt + \left(\sigma V_t\frac{\partial\bar{B}}{\partial V}\right)dW_t$

and

$$dS(V_t, t) = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} dV_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial V^2} (dV_t)^2 \\ = \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} V_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial V^2} + \mu V_t \frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right) dt + \left(\sigma V_t \frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right) dW_t.$$

æ

イロン イ理 とくほと くほとう

Therefore,

$d\Pi_{t} = \left[\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}V_{t}^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}\bar{B}}{\partial V^{2}} + \mu V_{t}\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial V} + \Delta_{t}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}V_{t}^{2}\frac{\partial^{2}S}{\partial V^{2}} + \mu V_{t}\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}\right)\right]dt + \underbrace{\sigma V_{t}}_{>0}\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial V} + \Delta_{t}\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}}_{=0}\right)dW_{t}$

and hence we obtain

$$\Delta_t = -\frac{\frac{\partial B}{\partial V}}{\frac{\partial S}{\partial V}}.$$

Perfect Hedge!!

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

In general V(t) follows Itô process (Continuous diffusion process):

$$dV(t) = \mu(t)V(t)dt + \sigma(t)V(t)dW(t) \text{ on } \mathbb{P} \text{ natural world}$$

$$b(t) = b_0 e^{\int_0^t r(u)du}, \ r(t) : \text{FRB or ECB rate}, \mathcal{F}(t) \text{ adapted}, b_0 = 1.$$

Suppose, there exists unique equivalent martingale measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Then every security (portfolio) price P(t) after discounting with b(t) is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingale. i.e.

$$\frac{P(t)}{b(t)} = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\frac{P(s)}{b(s)} | \mathcal{F}(t) \right], \quad 0 < t < s.$$
(6)

then it implies, on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$,

$$dP(t) = r(t)P(t)dt + \underbrace{[\cdots\cdots\cdots]}_{\text{bistorical diffusion coefficient}} d\tilde{W}(t)$$

= known from historical data

In our case P(t) = V(t). Hence

$$dV(t) = r(t)V(t)dt + \sigma(t)V(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$$

where $\tilde{W}(t)$ is a Brownian motion on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. (Note that the process $(\sigma(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is estimated from historical data.)

The interest rate on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ will have general form

$$dr(t) = \mu_r(t)dt + \sigma_r(t)d\tilde{W}_r(t)$$

where $\tilde{W}_r(t)$ is a Brownian motion on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. **Example** (CIR (Cox Ingersol Ross) model.) In real application, $\mu_r(t)$ and $\sigma_r(t)$ have simple form.

$$dr(t) = (a_r - b_r r(t))dt + \sigma_r \sqrt{r(t)}d\tilde{W}(t)$$
(7)

:mean reverting Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. We estimate $a_r > 0$, $b_r > 0$, and $\sigma_r > 0$ by calibrating the default free term structure interest rate, that is we found the best a^* , b^* and σ^* so that

$$B(0, T_i) = E_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{\int_0^t r_{a_r, b_r, \sigma_r}(u) du}\right], i = 1, 2, \cdots, M$$

are as closed as possible to the market prices $B^{\text{market}}(0, T_i)$ at time t = 0 (today).

Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev (KIT)

Recall

$$dV(t) = r(t)V(t)dt + \sigma(t)V(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$$

$$dr(t) = \mu_r(t)dt + \sigma_r(t)d\tilde{W}_r(t).$$

Here $\tilde{W}(t)$ and $\tilde{W}_{r}(t)$ are Brownian motions on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ and they are correlated

$$d\tilde{W}(t)d\tilde{W}_r(t)=\rho dt,$$

where ρ is correlation coefficient with $-1\leq\rho\leq$ 1. More precisely, $\langle\tilde{W},\,\tilde{W}_r\rangle_t=\rho t$

通 とう きょう う ほうし

- Typically ρ < 0: when the interest rate r(t) goes up, the firm cannot easily borrow money and default are more likely, and hence the firm value V(t) goes down.
- ρ must be calibrated from defaultable term structure interest rate.
- In some cases, in practice, ρ is estimated from historical data with the hope that the model is flexible enough to avoid arbitrages.

General Default Boundary



Value of the default free zero with maturity *T* evaluated at $t (0 \le t \le T)$:

$$B(t,T) = E_{\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{-\int_t^T r(u)du}|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

where r(t) is default free Term Structure Interest Rate.

Default Structure

Case 1:

- At time $\tau < T$ (stopping time), $V(\tau)$ hits the boundary, i.e. $V(\tau) = S \cdot B(\tau, T)$.
- Then at τ the bond holders sell the company cost C > 0, and get B

 B(τ, T) : the value of corporate (defaultable) bond.

$$\bar{B}(\tau,T)=V(\tau)-C$$

Remark:

$$ar{B}(au, T) = V(au) - C$$

= $S \cdot B(au, T) - C$
= $(S - ar{C})B(au, T)$

where \bar{C} is relative cost (e.g. 0.05) such that $\bar{C} \cdot B(\tau, T) = C$.







Default Structure

Case 2:

• Then at *T*, $\overline{B}(T, T)$ is the value of corporate bond at maturity *T*.

$$B(T, T) = \begin{cases} \bar{D} & , V_T > \bar{D} \\ V_T & , V_T \le \bar{D} \\ = \min(\bar{D}, V_T) \end{cases}$$



Valuation of the Corporate Bond

Since the market is complete with unique equivalent martingale measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$, for any payoff P_s at s > 0, we have present value at time t < s as

$$P_t = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{-\int_t^s r(u)du}P_s|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

In our case, $P_t = \overline{B}(t, T)$ is the value of the corporate bond at *t*. Hence

$$B(t, T) = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau < T} e^{-\int_{t}^{\tau} r(u) du} (V(\tau) - C) + \mathbf{1}_{\tau \ge T} e^{-\int_{t}^{T} r(u) du} \min(\bar{D}, V(T)) |\mathcal{F}_{t} \right]$$
(8)

A = 1 + 4 = 1

We have to do M-C simulation :

4

$$\begin{cases} dV(t) = r(t)V(t)dt + \sigma(t)V(t)dW_V(t) \\ dr(t) = \mu_r(t)dt + \sigma_r(t)dW_r(t) \\ d\tilde{W}(t)d\tilde{W}_r(t) = \rho dt \end{cases}$$
(9)

- We simulate *r*(*t*), the default free Term Structure Interest Rate, and obtain the value *B*(*t*, *T*), 0 ≤ *t* ≤ *T* ≤ *T**. (*T** : Time horizon. e.g. 30 years)
- Thus we know the boundary $S \cdot B(t, T)$ for $0 \le t \le T$.

A B A A B A

Simulate the joint process (V(t), r(t)) on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$. Discrete version of (9):

$$\begin{cases} V(t + \Delta t) = V(t) + r(t)V(t)\Delta t + \sigma(t)V(t)(W_V(t + \Delta t) - W_V(t)) \\ r(t + \Delta t) = r(t) + \mu_r(t)\Delta t + \sigma_r(t)(W_r(t + \Delta t) - W_r(t)) \\ corr(W_V(t + \Delta t) - W_V(t), W_r(t + \Delta t) - W_r(t)) = \rho\Delta t \end{cases},$$
(10)

where $t = 0, \Delta t, 2\Delta t, \cdots, (N-1)\Delta t$.

Remark: (10) converges with probability 1 to (9) as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ if (9) has unique strong solution. The drift and diffusion coefficients must be linear.

For
$$t = 0$$
,

$$\begin{cases} V(\Delta t) = V(0) + r(0)V(0)\Delta t + \sigma(0)V(0)\sqrt{\Delta t}\varepsilon_V \\ r(\Delta t) = r(0) + \mu_r(0)\Delta t + \sigma_r(0)\sqrt{\Delta t}\varepsilon_r \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

Furthermore,

$$corr(\sqrt{\Delta t}\varepsilon_V, \sqrt{\Delta t}\varepsilon_r) = \rho \Delta t$$
$$\Rightarrow corr(\varepsilon_V, \varepsilon_r) = \rho$$

where $\varepsilon_V \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_r \sim N(0, 1)$.

3

To simulate the pair $(\varepsilon_V, \varepsilon_r)$, we simulate two independent standard normal random variables (N_1, N_2) (i.e. $N_1 \sim N(0, 1)$, $N_2 \sim N(0, 1)$, and $corr(N_1, N_2) = 0$), we set

$$\varepsilon_V := N_1$$

$$\varepsilon_r := \rho N_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} N_2.$$

Then

$$E[\varepsilon_V] = E[\varepsilon_r] = 0,$$

$$Var[\varepsilon_V] = Var[\varepsilon_r] = 1,$$

$$corr(\varepsilon_V, \varepsilon_r) = \rho.$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

We continue using independent pairs (N_1, N_2) for every step, then we obtain one scenario for

$$(V(t + \Delta t), r(t + \Delta t))_{t=0,\Delta t, 2\Delta t, \cdots, (N-1)\Delta t},$$

using *N* independent pairs of (N_1, N_2) . We generate *S*-scenarios $(V^{(j)}(t + \Delta t), r^{(j)}(t + \Delta t))_{t=0,\Delta t, 2\Delta t, \dots, (N-1)\Delta t}, j = 1, 2, \dots, J.$ (e.g. J = 10000)



Prof. Dr. Svetlozar Rachev (KIT)

33 / 39

크

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

The value of the corporate bond under scenario s is

$$\begin{split} \bar{B}^{(j)}(t,T,\rho) &= \mathbf{1}_{\tau^{(j)} < T} e^{-\int_{t}^{\tau} r^{(j)}(u) du} (V^{(j)}(\tau) - C) \\ &+ \mathbf{1}_{\tau^{(j)} \geq T} e^{-\int_{t}^{\tau} r^{(j)}(u) du} \min(\bar{D}, V^{(j)}(T)) \end{split}$$

Given value $\rho \in [-1, 1]$, we get the M-C value of the corporate bond

$$\bar{B}(t,T,\rho) = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \bar{B}^{(j)}(t,T,\rho)$$
(12)

* ρ has to be calibrated.

A B A A B A

Calibration of ρ

- For every $\rho^{(m)} = -1, -1 + \Delta \rho, \dots, -1 + M \Delta \rho = 1$ (e.g. M = 200), we calculate $\overline{B}(0, T, \rho^{(m)})$ using (12).
- For given "credit rating", say BBB, as the credit rating of our firm, we can have data for the market prices B^{market}(0, T_i), i = 1, 2, ·, I.
- We find that ρ* on the lattice for ρ, such that minimize the following error

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \left(\frac{\bar{B}^{market}(0, T_i) - \bar{B}(0, T_i, \rho^{(m)})}{\bar{B}^{market}(0, T_i)} \right)^2$$

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト -

Valuation of credit derivatives under Merton's model

Ex1: The option of a corporate bond. Final payoff: $F(T_1, T_2) = \max(S \cdot B(T_1, T_2) - \overline{B}(T_1, T_2), 0)$, where $0 < t < T_1 < T_2$ (European contingent claim)

$$F(t, T_1, T_2) = E_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}\left[e^{-\int_t^{T_1} r(u)du}F(T_1, T_2)|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

Having the M-C engine, generate $(V^{(j)}(t), r^{(j)}), j = 1, \cdot, J$, then we compute

$$F^{(j)}(t, T_1, T_2) = e^{-\int_t^{T_1} r^{(j)}(u) du} \max(S \cdot B(T_1, T_2) - \bar{B}^{(j)}(T_1, T_2), 0).$$

Finally the M-C value is

$$F(t, T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} F^{(j)}(t, T_1, T_2).$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

Valuation of credit derivatives under Merton's model

Credit Spread:

- (Default free) Yield Curve: $Y(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \log B(t, T)$ where $B(t, T) = E[e^{-\int_t^T r(u)du} | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is obtained from the default free TSIR.
- Defaultable Yield Curve: $\overline{Y}(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \log \overline{B}(t, T)$ where $\overline{B}(t, T)$ is the defaultable bond price given by (8).

Because

$$\bar{B}(t,T) \leq B(t,T),$$

Credit Spread $S(t, T) := \overline{Y}(t, T) - Y(t, T) \ge 0.$

通 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト -

Valuation of credit derivatives under Merton's model Ex2: Caplet.

- Insurance against potential future Credit Spread.
- It is designed for someone who want to have a protection on nominal principal L (say 10Mio).
- The terminal value at $T_1 < T$: $F(T_1, T) = L\delta(T_1, T) \max (S(T_1, T) - \overline{S}, 0)$ where \overline{S} is fixed, and $\delta(T_1, T)$ is the year fraction between T_1 and T.

Since we have M-C scenario for $\bar{B}^{(j)}(t, T)$ and $B^{(j)}(t, T)$, we have also

$$S^{(j)}(t,T) = \bar{Y}^{(j)}(t,T) - Y^{(j)}(t,T) = -\frac{1}{T-t}(\log \bar{B}^{(j)}(t,T) - \log B^{(j)}(t,T))$$

we have $F^{(j)}(T_1,T) = L\delta(T_1,T) \max \left(S^{(j)}(T_1,T) - \overline{S}, 0\right)$, and hence

$$F(t,T) = \frac{1}{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} e^{-\int_{t}^{T_{1}} r^{(j)}(u) du} F^{(j)}(T_{1},T)$$

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

References



D. LANDO (2004). Credit Risk Modeling Princeton Series in Finance

P. J. SCHÖNBUCHER (2000).

The Pricing of Credit Risk and Credit Derivatives http://www.schonbucher.de/papers/bookfo.pdf

S. TRÜCK AND S. T. RACHEV (2005).

Credit Portfolio Risk and PD Confidence Sets through the Business Cycle https://www.statistik.uni-karlsruhe.de/download/tr_credit_portfolio_risk.pdf

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト